River Heights City

COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA Tuesday, April 5, 2022

Notice is hereby given that the River Heights City Council will hold its regular council meeting beginning at 6:30 p.m., anchored from the River Heights City Office Building at 520 S 500 E.

Pledge of Allegiance and Opening Thought (Milbank)

Adoption of Previous Minutes and Agenda

Reports and Approval of Payments (Mayor, Council, Staff)

Purchase Requisition

Public Comment

Report on City Projects from City Engineer Craig Rasmussen

CVDT Report from Mary Barrus

Discuss Septic Tank Issues for Residents on 700 South and Potential of Running a Sewer Line to 800 South

Presentation of a Fleet Policy

Discussion on Rod Rounds Request to Connect a Property Located in the County to City Water

Approval of the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Annual Report

Adjourn

To join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86222079874?pwd=TWhNTEJvblFZa01uc3dEN0w2eGlZQT09

Passcode: 186472

Posted this 1st day of April 2022

Sheila Lind, Recorder

In compliance with the American Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Sheila Lind, (435) 770-2061 at least 24 hours before the

River Heights City

	<u>-</u>					
1						
2						
3		C	Council Meeting			
4			April 5, 2022			
5			• •			
6						
7	Present:	Mayor	Jason Thompson, electronic			
8		Council members:	Sharlie Gallup			
9			Tyson Glover			
10			Janet Mathews			
11			Chris Milbank			
12			Blake Wright			
13			-			
14		Recorder	Sheila Lind			
15		Public Works Director	Clayten Nelson			
16		Finance Director	Cliff Grover			
17		Treasurer	Wendy Wilker, electronic			
18			, ,,			
19	Others Present	t:	Doug and Karma Wood, Troy Wakefield, Mary Barrus, Noel			
20			Cooley, Heather Lehnig, Kent and Mandy Brady			
21	Present Electro	onically	Cindy Schaub and David Thunell			
22		•	,			
23						
24		The following mo	tions were made during the meeting:			
25		J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
26	Motion #1					
27		ilmember Wright moved to "	adopt the minutes of the council meeting of March 15, 2022,			
28		-	er Milbank seconded the motion, which passed with Gallup,			
29		ws, Milbank, and Wright in fa	·			
30		,				
31	Motion #2					
32	Counc	ilmember Milbank moved to	"pay the bills as listed." Councilmember Gallup seconded the			
33			Mathews, Milbank, and Wright in favor. No one opposed.			
34	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
35	Motion #3	·				
36		ilmember Glover moved to "a	approve the purchase request to Miller Company for			
37						
38			ank, and Wright in favor. No one opposed.			
39		, ,				
40	Motion #4					
41		ilmember Milbank moved to	"approve the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Annual			
42			led the motion, which carried with Gallup, Glover, Mathews,			
43	-	Wright in favor. No one oppo	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
44	,	_	ceedings of the Meeting:			
45						

The River Heights City Council met at 6:30 p.m. in the Ervin R. Crosbie Council Chambers in the River Heights City Building on Tuesday, April 5, 2022, for their regular council meeting.

<u>Pledge of Allegiance and Opening Thought:</u> Councilmember Glover led in the Pledge and Councilmember Milbank opened with a thought.

Adoption of Previous Minutes and Agenda: Minutes for the March 15, 2022, meeting were reviewed.

Councilmember Wright moved to "adopt the minutes of the council meeting of March 15, 2022, and the evening's agenda." Councilmember Milbank seconded the motion, which passed with Gallup, Glover, Mathews, Milbank, and Wright in favor. No one opposed.

Reports and Approval of Payments (Mayor, Council, Staff):

Treasurer Wilker

She presented and answered questions regarding the bills to be paid.

Councilmember Milbank moved to "pay the bills as listed." Councilmember Gallup seconded the motion, which passed with Gallup, Glover, Mathews, Milbank, and Wright in favor. No one opposed.

Mayor Thompson

- He reminded that the Chugg property (east of the elementary school) is scheduled for a rezone
 hearing in Providence. Visionary is requesting it be zoned agricultural. He encouraged council
 members to attend. He is keeping informed of what is going on with this property.
- He has been working on long term plans for the Old School for a broader community use. He has
 found out his idea won't be immediate. He recommended River Heights find a tenant or multiple
 tenants to rent the building to recoup some of the costs of maintaining the building. He has had
 several groups reach out to him with interest. He would like a council discussion on a short-term
 use at the next meeting.
- He informed he sent a letter to the Providence mayor and council about his Visionary property annexation concerns and the impacts it will have on River Heights. He asked Recorder Lind to put a copy of the letter in the Drive.
- He asked that each council member meet with FD Grover to discuss their budgets for 2023. He is planning a budget workshop at 5:00 p.m., prior to the regular council meeting at 6:30 p.m. at some point soon.
- He brought up changes to the city's website. He has been working with John Cox (the city's webmaster) to make the improvements. He asked for feedback from the council and others.

Councilmember Wright

- He asked for a set date for the budget workshop. FD Grover said after he meets with the council
 members, he, and Treasurer Wilker will plug all the numbers in and come up with a draft they can
 work from. This would all be ready by May 3.
- Mr. Wright informed the council that sketch plans will come to them soon for their review and approval. He asked the council members to review the subdivision and PUD ordinance so they will be familiar with them. Mayor Thompson stated that supplemental help would be coming from the city attorney and engineer.

Councilmember Gallup

- The ambassadors, in conjunction with the Lions Club, will hold an Easter Egg Hunt on April 16th at the city park.
- She asked Mayor Thompson if the youth ambassadors could have their own webpage on the city's website. Mr. Thompson suggested the ambassador who wants to design it meet with the city's

web designer so he can convey the look and feel they want for the site. He would set up that meeting.

Councilmember Glover

• He has been meeting with homeowners on 400 South about the future sidewalk. All those he has talked to have said they want the sidewalk attached to back of curb.

Councilmember Mathews

• She is waiting on engineer reports for the lower wells.

Councilmember Milbank

- David Thunell would like to hold an Arbor Day activity in the park for kids which may involve tree
 trimming and tree climbing. He asked how the council felt about this and if the city's insurance
 would cover a potential accident. FD Grover recommended checking with the city's insurance
 company. Mayor Thompson said he would run it past the city attorney tomorrow. He suggested
 coordinating with the youth ambassadors to help with the activity. Councilmember Gallup said
 she would reach out to Mr. Thunell on how they can be involved.
- The pavilion roof along the soffit edges is in much need of repair. PWD Nelson has received a roofing bid for \$23,000. The roof and pavilion really need to be replaced at some point. Mr. Milbank asked if they want to hold off and build a new pavilion soon. He suggested they each go look at it. Mayor Thompson suggested they could add it to the capital projects list.

FD Grover

 He and Treasurer Wilker will be sending out each of their budgets. He looked forward to meeting with each of them in the next couple weeks.

Public Works Director Nelson didn't have anything to report.

<u>Purchase Requisition:</u> PWD Nelson explained Ryan's Place Park needs bark replenished every four to five years and it's due this year. Councilmember Gallup had told him she wants the ambassadors to spread the bark. Mr. Nelson said they would be grateful for the help. He'd like to get the bark ordered from Miller Company, located in Hyrum.

Councilmember Glover moved to "approve the purchase request to Miller Company for playground bark, in the amount of \$2,600." Councilmember Mathews seconded the motion, which carried with Gallup, Glover, Mathews, Milbank, and Wright in favor. No one opposed.

Mayor Thompson noted a GL number is required on the purchase requests.

<u>Public Comment:</u> Douglas J Wood said all three of the homes that sit back from 700 South, have had septic issues. He explained a product they have added to their septic tank to help the smell problem. They fear that all the septic tanks could fail at any time. He said the Merritts have had a lot of trouble with theirs. He explained that he is disabled, and his wife's knees are failing so they could use any help the city could give them. He felt the city would benefit from having them connected to the sewer line. He wondered what was to be done with the existing tanks if they connect to the sewer. PWD Nelson said its best to remove or fill them in, but it depends on access.

Report on City Projects from City Engineer Craig Rasmussen: Mayor Thompson read Engineer Rasmussen's comments.

<u>CVTD Report:</u> Mary Barrus reported from the Cache Valley Transportation District. In commemoration of the bus service running for 30 years, they are holding an event, which the mayor and council were invited to attend. She handed out individual invitations for each member.

<u>Discuss Septic Tank Issues for Residents on 700 South and Potential of Running a Sewer Line to 800 South:</u> Mayor Thompson asked for open discussion among the council, in which residents could chime in as well. A company came out to look at rectifying the septic issues and said one resident had a

failing septic system and the others were questionable. He felt getting all River Heights residents on the sewer system at some point would be a worthwhile discussion.

Councilmember Glover asked PWD Nelson to give a tour of the properties on the GIS map. Mr. Nelson explained the properties cannot connect to 700 South due to elevations. The map showed how they could connect to 800 South. The City would take a main line to a certain point, where the four property owners would branch off. They hadn't yet talked to property owners about easements. Mayor Thompson said the city would fund putting in the main line (estimated at \$80,000). They don't have a cost for residents yet, but it could become cost prohibitive for some of them. The council needed to discuss whether they would consider helping with funds or setting up loans.

Councilmember Milbank asked if anyone had investigated funding or grants. No one had yet. Doug Wood recommended a place they could check.

Mayor Thompson wanted them to consider: Is the city prepared to set this precedent? He felt this situation was a little different, since four properties were involved, rather than just one. He had heard estimates from 8,000-12,000 per property owner.

PWD Nelson felt they needed to make sure property owners would give an easement or their discussion was pointless. He figured at least three manholes would be needed. He guessed that Wasatch Properties may want a connection at some point so they might be good to work with on an easement. Discussion was held on possible ways to run the line. He displayed and discussed the engineer's estimate to T off at 800 South, east of Wasatch Properties. He said if they could drop to an existing manhole, it could save money due to the depth and extensive groundwater issue in this area.

Councilmember Glover explained the city would be responsible for the green line (main) and the residents would be responsible for disconnecting from their septic and connecting their line to the main.

Mayor Thompson said he didn't expect a final decision tonight. He wondered how the city would be assured that the septic tanks were taken care of properly and the connections done correctly. PWD Nelson said the city would be required to hold inspections on the lines and that Bear River Health has standards they follow on septic tanks.

Councilmember Wright asked about the Weston property connection. He was told they are on a 4" line connecting to the mainline.

Councilmember Milbank asked if there may be other future situations where more than one home would request to connect to the sewer. PWD Nelson affirmed there were a few homes on Orchard Dr that were on septic. The Riverdale area properties are also on septic.

Mayor Thompson would like to address the easements, look at the city budget and possible funding. He cautioned that the \$80,000 could possibly go up. He asked PWD Nelson to check with Bear River Health to see what their assessment and input would be on a project like this. He wanted to know what the city's impact fees would be. He was willing to work with Engineer Rasmussen on getting an updated cost estimate for the city and a general idea on what the property owner's costs might be. He suggested Councilmembers Glover and Mathews work on getting easements.

Councilmember Glover suggested a cost estimate for replacement of the septic systems to be used as a base. He guessed they would need a boundary survey, topography, and order manholes. He knew survey teams were backed up by a couple months and manholes were taking 4-6 months for delivery. He wanted to be realistic about things that are out of their control. Mayor Thompson asked Mr. Glover for an emailed list of the items he just mentioned and any others he could think of. He'd like to have the big picture. He hopes to have a full report back to the council so they can decide soon.

Councilmember Milbank suggested they check into ARPA funding because it's a sanitary issue. Mayor Thompson asked Mr. Milbank to investigate grants that might be available for this type of project.

It was confirmed that the two property owners not in attendance were also interested in connecting.

Mayor Thompson was concerned with the city becoming a bank to loan money to the residents for sewer connection. It could become too much if they set a precedent. He will check with the city attorney on this. He will also send an email to the council with their assignments.

<u>Presentation of a Fleet Policy:</u> Mayor Thompson presented the fleet policy he hoped to put into effect the next day. His concern was that city employees and the city are protected. He highlighted some of the things in the document, one of which was the disallowance of non-city employees or officials riding in city vehicles. Councilmember Gallup was concerned about parades, since there would be kids riding in/on city vehicles. Mayor Thompson said he would check with the city attorney on this. Ms. Gallup asked if there was going to be a minimum age for drivers. The mayor liked the idea and they discussed different ages but didn't come to a conclusion.

Councilmember Glover suggested getting written permission from the mayor on allowing others to ride.

PWD Nelson pointed out there are times he drives people around who are bidding projects. The mayor said he could add verbiage to allow city business passengers. He was more concerned with family members riding and possible safety issues. He realized that changes could be difficult but hoped everyone could become comfortable with it.

Councilmember Glover questioned whether the policy needed to come before the council. Mayor Thompson said it probably didn't need to, but he wanted council input. He will work with the city attorney on allowing permission for city business riders and a minimum age, before implementation of the policy.

<u>Discussion on Rod Rounds Request to Connect a Property Located in the County to City Water:</u>
Councilmember Wright suggested conditions to consider if the city decided to provide the service, such as the connection would apply only to this one lot and possibly a different justifiable water rate.
Councilmember Glover clarified his comments from the last meeting by stating the city would not be able to require Mr. Rounds to do anything with sidewalk and road, because he didn't own that property.

Councilmember Mathews informed that her dad had a well and worked out with the city to pay double water fees until he could connect to city water.

Councilmember Milbank felt limitations would be good, a special use fee, upfront fees, etc. He didn't see why there would be a big problem if the city had some oversight.

Mayor Thompson said he would reach out to Engineer Rasmussen to see what he would recommend for conditions. His biggest predicament was that these properties in the county also cause other impacts on city infrastructure, namely roads, and they don't pay taxes to River Heights. Councilmember Milbank suggested they pay impact fees to cover their impacts. PWD Nelson mentioned that other cities have policies in place for providing water outside their city limits. After talking to the city engineer, the mayor will bring something back to the council.

Councilmember Gallup asked if they could stipulate that, in the future, if they annex it should be to River Heights. Mayor Thompson will check with the city attorney.

Discussion was held on the number of other potential properties that could come to the city with the same request. Rod Rounds informed that the county requires 10 acres for one home to be built, which would limit the number of requests significantly. If a property owner in the county wants to develop, they would need to annex to a city. He felt the two current homes in his little subdivision didn't have much impact on the city. Councilmember Glover asked what the original plan for water was when the land was subdivided. Mr. Rounds said at the time they dug a well and only had two homes connected. Unbeknownst to them, they lost the permit for the third home because it wasn't developed at that time.

227	Mayor Thompson will have discussions with the attorney and engineer in hopes of bringing this to
228	a vote at the next meeting.
229	Approval of the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Annual Report: PWD Nelson explained
230	the report, which is presented before the council every year. He pointed out the only difference on the
231	report this year is that three new homes were added to the system and the city had no backups.
232	Councilmembers asked questions which he answered.
233	Councilmember Milbank moved to "approve the Municipal Wastewater Planning Program
234	Annual Report." Councilmember Mathews seconded the motion, which carried with Gallup, Glover,
235	Mathews, Milbank, and Wright in favor. No one opposed.
236	The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.
237	
238	·
239	•
240	
241	·
242	Sheila Lind, Recorder
243	
244	
245	<u> </u>
246	Jason Thompson, Mayor

246

Payee Description Admin. P&Z Parks/Rec Pub. Safety Com. Aff. Roads Water Sewer	\$360.00 \$40.00 \$104.50 \$48.00 \$36.00 \$1,029.00
2 Bear River Health Department Water Coliform Testing \$40.00 3 Chris Milbank Planning Commission Audio Tech \$104.50 4 Cindy Schaub Planning Commission \$48.00 5 Heather Lehnig Planning Commission \$48.00 6 Lance Pitcher Planning Commission \$36.00 7 Mueller Systems Yearly Maintenance \$1,029.00 8 Noel Cooley Planning Commission \$48.00 9 Sam's Club Office Supplies \$53.49 10 Secure Instant Payments Monthly Billing \$32.05 11 Troy Wakefield Planning Commission \$48.00 12 USA Blue Book Water Supplies \$376.41 13 Utah Labor Commission School Pressure Vessel & Boiler Certs. \$105.00 14 Verizon Wireless Monthly Billing \$68.87 \$68.88	\$40.00 \$104.50 \$48.00 \$48.00 \$36.00
7 Mueller Systems Yearly Maintenance \$1,029.00	
10 Secure Instant Payments Monthly Billing \$32.05 \$32.05 \$32.05 \$11 Troy Wakefield Planning Commission \$48.00 \$376.41	\$48.00 \$53.49
12 USA Blue BookWater Supplies13 Utah Labor CommissionSchool Pressure Vessel & Boiler Certs.14 Verizon WirelessMonthly Billing\$105.00\$68.87	\$96.14 \$48.00
14 Verizon Wireless Monthly Billing \$68.87	\$376.41 \$105.00
	\$206.62
16	
Page 1 SubTotals \$619.41 \$332.50 \$1,546.32 \$100.93	\$2,599.16

River Height	s City Bills To Be Paid	4.	-			April 5	, 2022		*****
Payce	Description	Admin.	P&Z	Parks/Rec Pub. Safet	y Com. Aff.	Roads	Water	Sewer	Total
Adam Luther Al's Trophies City of Logan Comcast	Council Photos Youth Council Framing Garbage, sewer, 914 Monthly Billing	\$150.00 \$15,083.56 \$50.54		\$2,037.0	\$84.00	- E	\$50.53	\$16,335.95 \$50.53	\$151
Daines & Jenkins Dominion Energy IPACO Logan City	Legal Fees/Attorney Gas Mower Mainténance Water Consumption	\$1,674.00 \$2,680.77		\$130.85 \$149.06		\$123.75	\$337.09 \$380.01	\$123 <i>:75</i>	\$1,674 \$3,396 \$149 \$380 \$4,688
Providence City Providence City The Clean Spot USA Bluebook	Library Snow Melt Salt Restroom Cleaning & Usage Supplies Water Supplies			\$100.58	\$4,688.00	\$3,478.87	\$332.31		\$3,478 \$3,478 \$100 \$332
	White Supplies								
						, , , ,			
		:					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
·				5		,			
								, A.	
								a de la companya de l	
	· ,								
		4	:						
Page Su	bTotals	\$19,638.87		\$380.49 \$2,037.	00 \$4,772.00	\$3,602.62	\$1,099.94	\$16,510.23	\$48,0
	The state of the second of the		ئىتىرىدۇكىيىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلىلى		وتعد المحاسب أوالكالو المهرسة	Page 1	Total Amou	nt aid	_\$48,04

PURCHASE REQUISITION

River Heigh		oration		Purchase Requisition No.:	
520 South 5				Purchase Requisition Date:	·
River Heigh: 435) 752-20		1321		Ship To:	Clayten Nelson 520 5 500 E River Heights ut
/endor :	183		600 5. 1 84319	Puchase Order Date;	
Department	:			Purchase Order No.:	
item	Quantity	GL#	Description	Unit Price	Extended
	100	10-70-40	Certified wood Playground Chips (100 culyards)	\$26.00	\$ 2,600.00
			<u> </u>	Subtotal Shipping/Other TOTAL	\$ 2,600 00 -

White: Attach to Yellow Copy of Purchase Order Blue: Office Copy

Forsgren Associates, Inc. 95 West 100 South, Suite 115 Logan, UT 84321 435 227-0333 Tel 435 227-0334



Memo

To:

Fax

Mayor Jason Thompson

From:

Craig Rasmussen, SE

Craig & Rasmussen

CC:

File

Date:

April 4, 2022

Re:

City Engineer Report for City Council

Engineer report for City Council meeting on April 5, 2022.

1. Stewart Hill Park – Our team held the pre-bid meeting last Tuesday, Bid opening is scheduled for April 13th at 1:00 pm. Four general contractors have requested plans for bidding the Phase 1 project. Chris Milbank, Clayen Nelson, Jeff Seedall (Forsgren Associates), and Sandy Davenport (BIO-WEST) attended the pre-bid meeting on behalf of the City.

- 2. 400 South Street 400 East to 600 East Consideration of sidewalk on the north side of the street. Forsgren has provided large format hard copy drawings and pdf schematic plans showing sidewalk options and impact to property lines. River Heights City to discuss options with property owners. Other than the "do nothing" option, two configurations are considered. Install a sidewalk against the existing curb – this would require mailboxes to be relocated to gang boxes and does not provide for snow storage. The sidewalk at the back of curb would not require property dedication. The second option is to install a sidewalk with a planter strip. This would require varying property dedications, would allow continued curb-side mail delivery, would provide snow storage, but would have significantly more impact to existing properties with established tree removal and impact to front yards.
- 3. River Heights Boulevard Waterline Forsgren has recently received the survey file from the surveyor and is commencing with water line design. Design completion by the end of April.
- 4. Lower Well Improvements Janet Mathews identified concerns with the Boyd Humphries easement plan that prohibits moving forward with adjusting the well site access. (The greenspace requirement is barely met with the current area, and original access plan reduced the greenspace.) Well house reconfiguration plan is 80% complete with revised well house plan, sections, and piping details. Eric has met with Clayten a couple times reviewing alternative plans. A guy wire from a Rocky Mountain Power pole interferes with the revised access requirements. Clayten is coordinating with Rocky Mountain Power to address options for the guy wire. Reconfigured plans to be finished and ready for bidding in April.
- 5. Riverdale Development Considerations City engineer, city attorney, Mayor Thompson, and PC Chair Noel Cooley met to discuss infrastructure in the Riverdale area, primarily transportation options and requirements. Based on this discussion, Jon Jenkins (attorney) prepared a draft memo addressing development requirements. Engineer reviewed and provided comment for additional consideration in the development requirements. Andy Bentley is considering development of the Demars property, and Nick ? is considering development of the adjoining Ellis parcel. Both have called to discuss utility requirements for servicing the area.

Porter 1

- PC Chair Noel Cooley is working with Andy for the Demars property Site Analysis Review, a PC site visit is scheduled for 4/12. There are a few items associated with the site analysis that Noel and I have identified should still be addressed by the developer's site analysis.
- 6. Demolition of old church building The City received an estimated cost to address the regulated materials in the old church as part of the demolition process. About \$130,000 for removal of asbestos, light ballasts, EXIT signs containing regulated materials, components in the furnace area, etc. Craig has been working with Edge Excavation to get an estimate for demolition of the building. Edge provided an estimated cost a few years ago to one of the Council Members (I think Dixie Wilson, but not sure). Edge lost this data with a server crash and no longer has the quantities. Due to their high work load, it has been difficult to get them to come look at the building to give a new estimate for budgeting purposes. The existing asbestos report is older than three years; and therefore, will need to be updated prior to demolition of the building.
- 7. Solid Waste Collection Provided Mayor Thompson (via email) resumes for Nick Patterson, senior Forsgren engineer with substantial solid waste collection and management experience, to share with the committee of mayors reviewing collection options. Nick is willing to meet with the committee to address questions, present collection options, discuss costs, schedules, etc.

Parcel Map







Opinion of Probable Costs River Heights City

750 S to 800 S Sewer Connection

		10/21/202						
Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Material	Unit Cost Labor	Total	Total Cost	Notes
3.	Concrete Manhole, 4' Precast (sewer) Manhole, 5' Precast (sewer)	ea ea	2	\$3,500 \$8,000	\$2,000 \$11,000	\$5,500 \$19,000	\$11,000 \$19,000	Approx 13 ft deep with extensive groundwater, sloughing Includes Street repair.
33.	Utilities 8" PVC SDR-35 Sewer Pipe 4" PVC SDR-35 Sewer Lateral 8" Wye Connection	If If ea	605 370 3	\$15 \$10 \$350	\$20 \$15 \$0	\$35 \$25 \$350	\$21,175 \$9,250 \$1,050	
44.	Process Equipment Insta	all .	1.45	y Harris	1-2	4 281		
	Sub-Total			\$61,475				
	Mobilization / Pressure Test 3% Bonds & Insurance 2% General Conditions 9% Easements and Recording 1% Survey and Design Engineering							
r	Contingency 10%							[[병원 : 10] 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Total Cost							

RIVER HEIGHTS CITY FLEET SAFETY PROGRAM POLICY

The purpose of this Fleet Safety Program Policy is to ensure the safety of those individuals who drive River Heights City vehicles. Safety while operating a motor vehicle is always critical but is even more important when the vehicle is carrying products that could cause harm to a driver, others, or the environment. Vehicle accidents are costly to River Heights City, but more importantly, they may result in injury to employees or others. It is the driver's responsibility to operate the vehicle in a safe manner and to drive defensively to prevent injuries and property damage. As such, River Heights City endorses and follows all applicable state motor vehicle regulations relating to driver responsibility and driver safety. River Heights City expects each driver to drive in a safe and courteous manner pursuant to River Heights City's safety rules and all local and state laws. The attitude present when behind the wheel is the single most important factor in driving safely.

DRIVER QUALIFICATIONS

Any employee is eligible to drive a city-owned vehicle provided the employee's use of the vehicle is essential to their job function AND the employee's Motor Vehicle Record ("MVR") is acceptable.

All employees must hold and carry a current driver's license for the class of motor vehicle for which they are operating and provide River Heights City a copy of said license.

Any changes in license status, including a renewal, all driving employees must provide a copy of their new license to River Heights City.

An acceptable MVR (personal driving history) is required by River Heights City as a condition of receiving or continuing employment in a position that requires driving.

River Heights City Mayor will check the driving history of potential and current employees using an MVR annually.

MVR checks will also be conducted on employees who will be covered by city insurance to drive rental vehicles during official city travel. River Heights City will review motor vehicle records and decide the status for applicants and employees according to the city-wide classification system listed below:

Acceptable

The individual is eligible to drive while conducting city business and able to be covered by city insurance. The individuals driving record indicates not more than one moving violation in the past 12 months.

Probationary '

The individual is eligible to drive while conducting city business with the stipulation that the individual's motor vehicle record will be checked periodically over a period of probation. The individual's driving record indicates more than one moving violation in the past 12 months and less

than two moving violations in the past 24 months. Any violations during the probationary period may result in termination of employment or other disciplinary action.

Acceptable Motor Vehicle Criteria:

Maximum of either one moving violation, one accident or combination thereof

No more than two minor violations, such as:

- Speeding less than 20 MPH
- Illegal turn
- Failure to obey traffic sign or signal
- Seat belt citation
- Non-moving violations such as parking tickets and equipment (fix-it) tickets

No serious violations, such as:

- Suspension or revocation of driving privileges
- Speeding over 20 MPH of posted speed limit
- · Leaving the scene of an accident
- · Reckless driving
- Driving under the influence of drugs, alcohol, controlled substances or while intoxicated or refusing a drug/alcohol test
- · Negligent homicide arising from the use of a motor vehicle
- Using a motor vehicle for the commission of a felony
- Racing on public roads
- · Driving with a suspended license
- · Fleeing and Eluding
- Vehicle/product theft or damage due to negligence (ex. failure to lock doors, park in well-lit area, leaving keys in plain view or leaving vehicle running while unattended)
- Procedure for Existing Employees

River Heights City will check the motor vehicle records annually for all current employees with driving responsibilities or those who use rental cars for city travel purposes. Any covered employee without a valid driver's license will not be allowed to operate a city vehicle or drive on River Heights City business. If driving is an essential job function and the employee cannot be reasonably accommodated, employment may be terminated. If under probation, a subsequent periodic motor vehicle record check reveals further violations, River Heights City will review the specific circumstances surrounding the individual and determine appropriate action which could include termination of employment.

Employee Role and Responsibilities

Every employee of River Heights City is responsible for conducting himself/herself in accordance with this program. Employee involvement is an essential element to the success of River Heights City's fleet safety efforts. Employees may be solicited for their input regarding safety features, training programs and other topics related to this program.

Driver Training

- All new drivers
- Drivers who have been involved in accidents (regardless of fault)
- Drivers who have had moving violations
- Drivers who have been identified through observations as having driving issues will be involved in additional training.

Driver training will include successful completion of individual online training courses on various driving topics and/or the NSC (National Safety Council) DDC (Defensive Driving Course). These courses will be provided to River Heights City through its insurance relationships and can be accessed by the Mayor.

DRIVER RULES

No driver shall operate a River Heights City vehicle when the driver's ability to do so safely has been impaired for any reason, including but not limited to, drugs, alcohol, illness, fatigue, injury, or prescription medication. Doing so may result in immediate termination.

All drivers and authorized passengers operating or riding in a River Heights City vehicle must always wear seatbelts

No unauthorized personnel (i.e. all non-River Heights City employees or non-elected officials) are allowed to ride in River Heights City vehicles

Drivers are responsible for the security of city vehicles and any contents assigned to the driver or vehicle

Headlights shall be used while dark, 1/2 hour before sunset, 1/2 hour after sunrise, during inclement weather, or at any time when 500 feet ahead of the vehicle cannot be seen clearly. Smoking or Vaping is strictly prohibited in or around River Heights City vehicles

All state laws, local laws, or D.O.T. Motor Carrier Safety Regulations must be obeyed

Drivers must never use their phones while driving to text, call or other uses. First, infraction will result in a written warning. Second, infraction may result in immediate termination.

Quarterly, River Heights City drivers must fill out a vehicle self-inspection report and turn into the Mayor.

Persons driving their own vehicles for city purposes is not allowed, unless authorized by the Mayor for a specific purpose.

Daily Pre-Drive Check

Each driver will inspect the vehicle at the start of the day, to ensure vehicle safety.

SAFETY STANDARDS

Harsh Braking

The highest number of reported accidents involve vehicles ahead of them. A driver should follow at least two (2) car lengths behind any vehicle and an additional one (1) car length for every 10 mph over 40 mph. Harsh braking wears down vehicle parts such as the brakes, tires, springs, and shock absorbers more rapidly, which decreases the vehicle's overall efficiency and can lead to the vehicle needing more frequent repairs.

Harsh Acceleration

Harsh acceleration is first and foremost a safety issue, but it also wastes fuel and adds unnecessary wear and tear to vehicles as well.

Harsh Cornering

Reduce speed prior to entering a cornering situation. The vehicle must be slowed down while it is straight. Then, proceed into the corner, rather than attempting to decelerate as the vehicle is going around the corner.

Speeding

River Heights City does NOT tolerate speeding. All employees must obey all posted traffic speed limits.

Seathelts

All employees and approved vehicle passengers must wear seatbelts.

Discipline

Safety Reports

The first time an employee scores less than 80% on a monthly safety report, the employee will be written up their supervisor The second time an employee scores less than 80% on a monthly safety report it may result in termination at the supervisor's discretion.

If at any time an employee scores below a 50% on a monthly safety report, the employee will be terminated from employment with River Heights City.

Employee Negligence

Employees may receive disciplinary action, up to and including termination, for lost, damaged, or stolen vehicles or property, either in part or entirely linked to employee negligence.

Traffic Violations and Citations

Traffic citations (this includes citations that are issued as a result of images being taken resulting in a mailed citation) must be reported to the Mayor as soon as possible. If a River Heights City driver receives two citations, they may be terminated as a River Heights City employee or driver. These citations will be taken out of the driver's paycheck if sent to the city and the city pays for such citations, unless state or local laws do not allow it. The Mayor may take any other disciplinary actions for drivers that receive a citation, not listed here, that is necessary.

Accident Report & Investigation

Accident Reporting Procedure:

- 1. Stop as soon as it is safe after an accident
- 2. Call the police
- 3. Call 911 emergency services, if necessary, then tend to any injured person at the scene
- 4. If possible, move the vehicle to the shoulder of the road to mitigate traffic congestion
- 5. Contact your supervisor or the Mayor. (The Supervisor should immediately contact the Mayor)
- 6. Do not make any statements accepting responsibility for the collision and only address the facts of the event
- 7. Fill out the accident report form located in the vehicle glove box and give to the Mayor.
- 8. Retrieve the insurance and license plate information from the driver(s) of the other vehicles involved in the accident
- 9. When deemed necessary the Mayor should immediately report to the scene of the accident to investigate and help fill out accident reports

All River Heights City vehicle accidents must be reported to the Mayor as soon as possible. The Mayor will then determine if an insurance claim should be processed, such as when bodily injury or property loss/damage has occurred. If necessary, a thorough investigation will be made by River Heights City administration or other qualified personnel. Prompt investigation with documentation is vital to ensure the safety of all River Heights City employees. It will also facilitate finding the cause of the accident and to establish regulations to reduce the probability of recurrence.

River Heights City administration, with the assistance of police reports and other pertinent data (ex. post-accident drug test), determine the fault of the accident. If a driver is at fault, the driver may be terminated from employment at River Heights City. This will be done at the discretion of the Mayor and City Council.

Post-Accident Drug Test:

Within 4 hours of any accident, no matter how minor, the driver and passenger, if applicable, must take a post-accident drug test. That can be done by going to any local hospital or clinic. If a driver is found to be under the influence of drugs or alcohol at the time of accident, regardless of whether the driver is found at fault or not, the employee's employment will be terminated.

VEHICLE MAINTENANCE

Please remember, it is the River Heights City name on every vehicle. If the vehicle is dirty, damaged, or looks unprofessional in any way, the citizens may lose confidence in River Heights City. Drivers are responsible for letting the city administration know when their vehicle needs maintenance or repair. The Mayor will schedule or will assign someone to schedule an appointment for maintenance and the driver will take the vehicle to the scheduled maintenance appointment.

Employees must document the maintenance or repair needs on a Vehicle Self-Inspection report. The report should be used to communicate with the Mayor. It is expected that any maintenance or repair issue be reported to the Mayor within twenty-four (24) hours or more of the need arising.

Maintenance needs include, but are not limited to; items such as an oil change, tire condition, squeaky or unresponsive brakes, service engine light, any unusual sounds, windshield damage, any leaks, car washes, etc.

Quarterly Inspection Reports

A top to bottom inspection will be done on each vehicle quarterly in order to assess the vehicle's condition and each employee's ability to maintain their vehicle. Inspections may come without notice. The Mayor or other designated individual will inspect the inside and outside of the vehicle and utilize the Vehicle Self-Inspection Report.

YEARLY PROGRAM REVIEW

At least annually the Mayor will conduct a program review to assess the progress and success of the Fleet Safety Program.

The review will consider the following:

- Evaluation of all training programs and records.
- The frequency and severity of vehicle accidents during the previous year.
- The need for changes to the Fleet Safety Program, based on evaluation of the program and results.
- The need for changes to the driver selection/ qualification criteria.

RECORD RETENTION

All records must be digitally retained for at least 7 years.

Employees

Every employee of River Heights City is responsible for conducting himself/herself in accordance with this program. Employee involvement is an essential element to the success of River Heights City's fleet safety efforts. Employees may be solicited for their input regarding safety features, training programs and other topics related to this program.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
Heights City's Vehicle Fleet Safety Propolicy and driver rules have been furnis	, acknowledge that the information contained in River gram & Policy has been reviewed with me and a copy of hed to me. As a driver of a city vehicle, I understand that in a safe manner and to drive defensively to prevent inj	at it is
Sign and retain the original copy in the	employee's file.	
Name (Print)	•	
Driver's License Number	· -	
Signature	Date	

EMPLOYEE AUTHORIZATION FOR MVR REVIEW

I acknowledge that the information contained in River Heights City's Fleet Safety Program & Policy has been reviewed with me. As a River Heights City driver, I understand that it is my responsibility to operate the vehicle in a safe manner and to drive defensively to prevent injuries and property damage.

I also understand that River Heights City will periodically review my Motor Vehicle Record to determine continued eligibility to drive a city vehicle. In accordance with the Fair Credit Reporting

Act, I have been informed that a Motor Vehicle Record will be periodically obtained on me for continued employment purposes.

I acknowledge the receipt of the above disclosure and authorize my employer or its designated agent, to obtain a Motor Vehicle Record report. This authorization is valid if I am an employee of River Heights and may only be rescinded in writing.

Furthermore, I agree to notify management if any of the following should occur:

- I receive a citation for driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol.
- I receive a citation for any moving violation.

Sign and retain the original copy in the employee's file.

- My Driver's License is suspended or revoked for any reason.
- I am involved in a vehicle accident while on city business

Name (Print)	
Driver's License Number	
Signature	 Date

Municipal Wastewater Planning Program (MWPP) Annual Report for the year ending 2021 RIVER HEIGHTS CITY

Thank you for filling out the reqested information. Please let DWQ know when it is approved by the Council.

Please download a copy of your form by clicking "Download PDF" below.

							. F181		- Ye has not take		
Æ		11 July 2012		**			7 5807 A., 2 W	÷	The state of the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
١.	٦.	# 1 A	8 * * /	17. 建筑原来。	7.	for March	July France				
)	Below is	a summary	of vour	* 1. 國海觀·德克斯	•	â.	Salar Sa				Download PDF
	201011	, Jan 19	o. you	75 ° 30 %	•			•	s. San San San	70 30 30	The property of the second
	respons	ses	1			٠.,	. T.S.				
`						, " s				9 % A 7 60 %	
			,	***		,	74 CT 13	٠, ٠,	크术 병수는 사람이		

SUBMIT BY APRIL 15, 2022

Are you the person responsible for completing this report for your organization?

\odot	Yes
---------	-----

O No

This is the current information recorded for your facility:

Facility Name:	RIVER HEIGHTS CITY				
Contact - First Name:	Clayten				
Contact - Last Name:	Nelson				
Contact - Title	Public Works Director				

Contact - Email:	cnelson(@riverheight:	s.org		
this information above	e complete	and correc	t?		
					• •
Yes				and the second s	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
No			*	The state of the s	
Ans, the North	•"	ı .			
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ortination (not be the control of t	in the second
our wastewater syst	tem is des	scribed as	Collection	& Financial:	
	And the second	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
lassification: COLLE	CTION				
rade: I		$=\frac{a}{a}\frac{b^2}{b^2}+\frac{a}{a}\frac{b}{a}\frac{a}{a}$	no 4		
IUU B. II	13 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -				
	20 E	<i>.</i>			a a a
		(if applic	able)	the state of the s	
assification: -		and the second			44 4 4 4 4 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ade: -	A Section of the sect				
			+ 1		86
this correct?					
ARNING: If you selec	ct 'no' voi	u will no lo	onger have	access to thi	s form un
icking Save & Conti		•			
gain.	ilido. Dyrd	ewiji upac		Triduon dia	sorita oc. y
yanı.		4	and day to		
Yes	e de la companya de l		3		
	r.	s a series	**		
No	4 4 2	,	W		
				Sept.	,
	ings. Nama		ξ	3 E	
ick on a link below	to view a	previous	year's exan	nples of secti	ons in the
ırvey:		5 V 3	A ST	- 1944 - 1945 - 1946 -	
our wastewater sys	stem is de	scribed c	s Collectio	n & Financial)
		e i	_		
WPP Collection Syst	tem ndf		e and a		The state of the s
			ri		**************************************
IWPP Discharging La	Ψ,				4.
IWPP Financial Evalu		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		The Control of the Co	
<u> IWPP Mechanical Pla</u>	<u>ant.pdf</u>	A STATE OF THE STA	•	**	The C

MWPP Non-Discharging Lagoon.pdf

y) Yes		* 4
No	÷	
Financial Evaluation	Section	.*I
		•
orm completed by:		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Clayten Nelson		
Part I: GENERAL QUI	ESTIONS	·
	Yes	No
Are sewer revenues maintained in a dedicated purpose enterprise/district account?	•	O
	Yes	No
Are you collecting 95% or more of your anticipated sewer revenue?	•	0
Are Debt Service Reserve Fund ⁶ requirements being met?	•	0
What was the annual average User Charge ¹⁶	for 2021?	s.
44.00	4.3	one a grant and a state of the
	,	• * .

Do you have a water and/or sewer customer assistance program * (CAP)?



Part II: OPERATING REVENUES AND RESERVES

	Yes	No
Are property taxes or other assessments applied to the sewer systems ¹⁵ ?	0	•
	Yes	No
Are sewer revenues ¹⁴ sufficient to cover operations & maintenance costs ⁹ , and repair & replacement costs ¹² (OM&R) at this time?	•	0
Are projected sewer revenues sufficient to cover OM&R costs for the <i>next five years</i> ?	•	0
Does the sewer system have sufficient staff to provide proper OM&R?	•	0
Has a repair and replacement sinking fund ¹³ been established for the sewer system?	0	•
Is the repair & replacement sinking fund sufficient to meet anticipated needs?	0	•
Part III: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS RESERVES	S REVENUI	ES AND
	Yes	Ño
Are sewer revenues sufficient to cover all costs of current capital improvements ³ projects?		0
Has a Capital Improvements Reserve Fund ⁴		

been established to provide for anticipated

	Yes	NO
Are projected Capital Improvements Reserve Funds sufficient for the <i>next five years</i> ?	0	•
Are projected Capital Improvements Reserve Funds sufficient for the <i>next ten years</i> ?	0	•
Are projected Capital Improvements Reserve Funds sufficient for the <i>next twenty years</i> ?	0	•
Part IV: FISCAL SUSTAINA	BILITY REVI	EW
	Yes	- No
Have you completed a Rate Study ¹¹ within the last five years?		O .
Do you charge impact fees ⁸ ?	•	0
2021 Impact Fee (if not a flat fee, use averag	e of all collected	l fees) =
559.00	•	
559.00		
559.00	Yes	No
Have you completed an Impact Fee Study in accordance with UCA 11-36a-3 within the last five years?	Yes	No O
Have you completed an Impact Fee Study in accordance with UCA 11-36a-3 within the last	,	N° O

unknown	4		<u> </u>	A 9 1	
				\$15	Ł
	. n - 1/4	. #			**************************************
A Company of the Comp	e de la companya de l	$\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)}{x} = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)}{x} y = x $			- 19
W	,			7 Y	
		el a		* 1 K	
	April Aller	as.	Yes		No
***	ay Marin San San San San San San San San San Sa		and the second second		
Do you use an	Asset Manage	ment system for	or O	* ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
your sewer sys	tems?	v	,	* "	
Al Control of the Con	•	r	*	3 8 0	
Andrew State (1997)	* *	$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{i,q}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{i,q}}$			
		No. of the second	e e	***	
	and the second				
			en e		
			Yes		No
			**************************************		e jednosti s
Do you know th	ne total replac	ement cost of	\sim	τ _α	
your sewer sys	90 Page 1				
	**				
		*			
	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		a jaka sa	* \$4.5 * *	1 4 g
6			A VM of The Same o		
		, ,	Yes		No
		•		The Control of the Co	***
Do you fund se	wer system co	pital	\$ 7		
· ·= · · ·	1 10	sewer revenues		•	
	• •	lacement cost?	, - 	a garage	
ar 5% or more (oi me fordi teb	ilacerneur costs		2	*
What is the say	varltraatmant	system annual	1 .	3.	
		- A			
		centage of its to	otal O	, e	
replacement c	ost?	* * *			
2	S				
		A ^P ×	± •	14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -	· · · · ·
					.) #
What is the sew		•	**	wai cost	as a
percentage of i	ts total repla	cement cost?	*	*	a a
**************************************		,		,	· Salar
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 			

Part V: PROJECTED CAPITAL INVESTMENT COSTS

		Cost .	Purpose	Purpose of Improvements			
). The state of th		Please enter a valid numerical value	Replace/Restore	New Technology	Increase Capacity		
2022		30000					
2022 thru 2026	:	80000					
2027 thru 2031	- 1	40000					
2032 thru 2036	·	40000					
2037 thru 2041		40000					
,	This	is the end of the Final	ncial questions				
3 3 3 d		* * *					
To the best of m	y knowle	dge, the Financia	l section is comp	leted and			
accurate.				The second secon	A WE M.		
					3 S		
Yes	*			. · :	e ver		
	्र १ ू ह		*		*		
**************************************	Co	llections Syste	m Section	, *'	J.		
•	v.						
Form completed May Receive Contin	·	ion /units (CEUs)			** ***********************************		
2,							
Clayten Nelson		*					
	Part I	: SYSTEM DE	SCRIPTION				

What is the largest diameter pipe in the collection system (diameter in inches)?

11						4.5
What i	s the t	otal lenath of	sewer pipe in	the system	(length in mile)?
	, '				20 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a 2 a	
8.1						
•				× .		
How m	nany li	ft/pump static	ns are in the	collection s	vstem?	
	* . *					
O				et eg trade a standiscust muse Artena 1979 1971		
<u> </u>		19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1			₹	
What i	ie tha l	aract canaci	ty lift/pump et	tation in the	e collection sys	tam
			7 3.5.		e conection sys	tem v
		acity in adilon				
(desig	ın capı	acity in gallon	s per minute)	1		10 mg/m
NA	in cap	acity in gallon	s per minute)			
	in cap	acity in gallon	s per minute)			
NA					neak daily flow l	ov 100
NA Do sec	asonal	daily peak flo			eak daily flow l	oy 100
NA Do sec		daily peak flo			eak daily flow l	oy 100
NA Do sec	asonal	daily peak flo			eak daily flow l	oy 100
NA Do sec percer	asonal	daily peak flo			eak daily flow l	oy 100
NA Do sec percer) Yes	asonal	daily peak flo			eak daily flow l	oy 100
NA Do sec percer Yes No	asonal nt or m	daily peak flo	ws exceed the	average p		
NA Do sec percer Yes No	asonal nt or m	daily peak flo	ws exceed the	average p	eak daily flow l	
NA Do sec percer Yes No	asonal nt or m	daily peak flo	ws exceed the	average p		
NA Do sec percer Yes No What	asonal nt or m	daily peak flo	ws exceed the	average p		

PART II: DISCHARGES

How many days last year was there a s	sewage bypa	ss, overflow o	r
basement flooding in the system due t			
0			
			20 km 1 m 1 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2
How many days last year was there a s basement flooding due to equipment f			
0			
The Utah Sewer Management Program	defines two c	lasses of sani	tary sewer
overflows (SSOs):			4
 (a) affects more than five private st (b) affects one or more public, com (c) may result in a public health risk (d) has a spill volume that exceeds 	mercial or inc to the gener	al public;	, a
single private structures; or (e) discharges to Waters of the stat	te.		
Class 2 - a Non-Significant SSO means by a private lateral obstruction or prob	and the second second		. " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
SSO criteria.			**************************************
		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Below include the number of SSOs that	cocurred in	year: 2021	
	ψ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- -
	× ,	Number	, n
Number of Class 1 SSOs in Calendar	·		

***		` *.		· ·	·
a see) e
Please indicate	what cause	d the SSO(e)	in the previo	oue duestion	."
iedse iridicate	windt caase		in the previo	ous question.	
		<u> </u>			
				<u>andrian andrian a trainmetic andrian a</u>	
		n SC CF			
lease specify v	whether the	SSOs were co	dused by con	tract or tribut	ary
ommunity, etc).		, **\%*		2.7 m
, a		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	, in	- h
	**		v		
id id id id i	Part III	· NEW DE	VELOPME	NT	
	л алдын	. I ALL. V. V LA	A LTOI TAIL	Maria Ma Maria Maria Ma	
e de la companya de l					
			Manager State of the Company of the	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
production in the he sewerage s	ne past two	years, such t	hat flow or wo	astewater loa	
production in the	ne past two	years, such t	hat flow or wo	astewater loa	
production in the he sewerage s) Yes	ne past two	years, such t	hat flow or wo	astewater loa	
oroduction in the he sewerage s) Yes	ne past two	years, such t	hat flow or wo	astewater loa	
production in the he sewerage s Yes No	ne past two y	years, such t ased by 10%	hat flow or we	astewater loa	dings to
oroduction in the sewerage s Yes No	ne past two y ystem incred	years, such t ased by 10%	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No Are new develo	pe past two y ystem increases pments (inc years that y	years, such t ased by 10% lustrial, comi will increase	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No Are new develo	pe past two y ystem increases pments (inc years that y	years, such t ased by 10% lustrial, comi will increase	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No The new develors the next 2 - 3 The next 2 - 3 The next 2 - 3	pe past two y ystem increases pments (inc years that y	years, such t ased by 10% lustrial, comi will increase	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No Are new develo	pe past two y ystem increases pments (inc years that y	years, such t ased by 10% lustrial, comi will increase	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No Are new develous the next 2 - 3 sewerage system	pe past two y ystem increases pments (inc years that y	years, such t ased by 10% lustrial, comi will increase	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No The new develor the next 2 - 3 The new system Yes	pe past two y ystem increases pments (inc years that y	years, such t ased by 10% lustrial, comi will increase	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re	astewater load	dings to
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No The new develors the next 2 - 3	pe past two y ystem incred 3 years that y em by 25% or	years, such t ased by 10% will increase r more?	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re flow or BOD5	sidential) anti loadings to th	icipated
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No The new develor the next 2 - 3 Sewerage system Yes No	pe past two y ystem incred 3 years that y em by 25% or	years, such t ased by 10% will increase r more?	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re flow or BOD5	sidential) anti loadings to th	icipated
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No The new develor the next 2 - 3 Sewerage system Yes No	pe past two y ystem incred 3 years that y em by 25% or	years, such t ased by 10% will increase r more?	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re flow or BOD5	sidential) anti loadings to th	icipated
oroduction in the he sewerage s Yes No The new develors the next 2 - 3 Sewerage system Yes No Number of new	pe past two y ystem incred 3 years that y em by 25% or	years, such t ased by 10% will increase r more?	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re flow or BOD5	sidential) anti loadings to th	icipated
No Are new develo n the next 2 - 3 sewerage syste) Yes	pe past two y ystem incred 3 years that y em by 25% or	years, such t ased by 10% will increase r more?	hat flow or we or more? mercial, or re flow or BOD5	sidential) anti loadings to th	icipated

Equivalent resid	ential conn	ections ⁷ ser	ved		W N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
654	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			×		
	Part IV: Ol	PERATOF	R CERTIFIC	CATION	
N	A second	en grade en en	er i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i	in the second se	
How many colle	ation avata	m. oporatore	do voir ömn	dov2	
now many cone	ction system	ii operators	i do you emp	noy:	grife a earlier se
2		\$			
· ·					-
Approximate po	pulation se	rved		c ·	
2200				4.3	
		700 E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1, N
State of Utah Ac considered to b certified at leas	e in Direct R	esponsible (
	en in the second of the second	÷		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
e visit					
List the designa	ited Chief O	perator/DRC	c for the Coll	ection System	below:
	N	lame	Grade	Email	
A section of the sec		i I arak bianana		Please enter full er	a yari ≇
No.	First and	i Last Name		i lease eilici ian ci	nail address

List all other Collection System operators with DRC responsibilities in the field, by certification grade, separate names by commas:

Name

LS Gruug I.	Name
ollection Grade I:	
Ouecholl oldde it	separate by comma
collection Grade II:	
óllection Grade III:	
Collection Grade IV:	A Company of the Comp
List all other Collection System oper	ators by certification grade, separate
names by commas:	ato, o by continuation grade, copulate
ridifies by Corrillias.	
	Name
	separate by comma
LS ¹⁷ Grade I:	
ollection Grade I:	Cameron Reed
ollection Grade II:	
collection Grade III:	
collection Grade IV:	
o Current Collection Certification:	
Is/are your collection DRC operator((s) currently certified at the appropriate
grade for this facility?	
Yes	
) No	
Dart W EACH IT	Y MAINTENANCE
i alt v. i ACILII	
	and the second s
	Yes, No topics
Have you implemented a preventative	9
maintenance program for your collect	
system?	
The second of th	

the past 5 years?	Yes	Nø
Do you have a written emergency response plan for sewer systems?	• ,	0
Do you have a written safety plan for sewer systems?	•	O
Is the entire collections system TV inspected a least every 5 years?	t •	0
Is at least 85% of the collections system mapped in GIS?	•	0
Part VI: SSMP EVA	LUATION	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	Yes	NŎ.
Has your system completed a Sewer System Management Plan (SSMP)?	•	0
Has the SSMP been adopted by the permittee's governing body at a public meeting?	•	O
Has the completed SSMP been public noticed?	•	O 2
During the annual assessment of the SSMP, were any adjustments needed based on the performance of the plan?	0	•
**************************************	*	
Date of Public Notice	e e	
02/24/2016		

During 2021, was any part of the SSMP audited as part of the five year audit?

● No	***		* 4		\$35 c
Have you comp (SECAP) as def	-		-		ce Plan
Yes Yes	,				,
O No		, <i>*</i> *			, , ,
- ,	Part VII: NA	ARRATIV	E EVALUA	ATION	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	his section should	be completed	with the systen	n operators.	3° 3
•	,		*	,	s
Describe the pl	nysical condition	on of the se	ewerage syst	tem: (lift stat	tions, etc.
Good	***************************************	*		3-	3 1
What sewerage implement in t			ments ³ does	the utility ne	ed to
New building for	O&M equipmen	t	*		
What sewerage the last year?	e system probl	ems, other	than pluggi	ng, have you	had over
none	2			-	
Is your utility co	urrently prepar	ing or upd	ating its cap	ital facilities	plan²?
(Yes					
O No	2 *				

100% CoveredPartially cover					
Partially cover				*	4
i digitally cover	-		,	٠	,
O Does not pay	•				•
		,	• .		•
Is there a writte wastewater ope	• •	garding con	tinuing educat	ion and train	ning for
Yes	•	*			
○ No	r				
		A CONTRACTOR	•	*	
Any additional	comments	s? 	,		
<u> </u>	and the second s	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e 			
)	This is the	end of the Colle	ections System que	estions	
	* * ***			·	,
	ny knowled	lge, the Colle	ections System	section is c	ompleted
To the best of nand accurate.					***
	n. "	•	·		

× Orgh Volumer clear

Has this been adopted by the council to the council?	? If no, what date will it be presented
○ Yes	
● Ňo	
What date will it be presented to the Date format ex. mm/dd/yyyy	council?
Please log in.	
Èmail	
PIN	

NOTE: This questionnaire has been compiled for your benefit to assist you in evaluating the technical and financial needs of your wastewater systems. Completion of the collection section meets the annual reporting requirement for the USMP. If you received financial assistance from the Water Quality Board, annual submittal of this report is a condition of that assistance. Please answer questions as accurately as possible to give you the best evaluation of your facility. If you need assistance, please send an email to wqinfodata@utah.gov and we will contact you as soon as possible. You may also visit our <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u> page.

Powered by Qualtrics ☐